## Logic and Conversation Assignment 2

Please return the assignment in pdf by email to: floris.roelofsen@gmail.com Due date: Monday 12/11

## 1 DPL

- 1. Show that conjunction is not idempotent in DPL, as defined in def. 41 of the paper. That is, show that it is not the case that for every formula  $\phi$ ,  $[\![\phi]; \phi]\!] = [\![\phi]\!].$
- 2. Consider the following sentence:
  - (1) Every player who had a card, put it on the table.  $\forall x.((Px; [y]; Cy; Hxy) \rightarrow Txy)$

Consider a model M with:

- $D = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$
- $I_M(P) = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$
- $I_M(C) = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$
- $I_M(H) = \{ \langle p_1, c_1 \rangle, \langle p_3, c_2 \rangle, \langle p_3, c_3 \rangle, \langle p_3, c_4 \rangle \}$
- $I_M(T) = \{ \langle p_1, c_1 \rangle, \langle p_3, c_2 \rangle \}$

Let g be an assignment that maps x to  $p_1$  and y to  $c_1$ , and suppose that there are no variables in the language other than x and y.

- (a) Compute, step-by-step, whether  $\langle g, g \rangle$  is in  $\llbracket (1) \rrbracket$ .
- (b) Does DPL derive a strong or a weak reading for (1)? Explain.

## 2 Generalized quantifiers

- 1. [Selective quantification] Consider the following sentence:
  - (2) Most players who had a card, put it on the table.  $\mathbf{most}_{x}^{wk}((Px; [y]; Cy; Hxy), Txy)$

Assume a selective treatment of  $\mathbf{most}_x^{wk}(\phi, \psi)$ , as defined on page 25 of the paper. Let M and g be as above. Show how to compute, step-by-step, whether  $\langle g, g \rangle$  is in  $[\![(2)]\!]$ .

- 2. [Decomposed quantification] Consider the following discourse:
  - (3) Most movies are about a man and a woman. He usually seduces her.
  - (a) Specify a model M and two contexts (i.e., sets of assignments) G and H such that  $G[[(3)]]^M H$  in PCDRT. You do not have to give a detailed proof, but do give an explanation showing that you understand how the system works.
  - (b) Specify a model M and a context G such that  $[(3)]^M$  does not map G to any output context in PCDRT. Again, you do not have to give a detailed proof, but do give an explanation showing that you understand how the system works.